SM-10: Toward First-Principles Quark Mass from Finite Element Chain Network Simulation

600-Cell Standard Model Emergence Series

Version 2.0, 9 April 2026

Thomas Lee Abshier, ND Claude Opus (Anthropic)

Hyperphysics Institute
https://hyperphysics.com
drthomas007@protonmail.com

Abstract

SM-8 established a zero-free-parameter quark mass formula $M_q = m_e(z/\varphi)V^{7/3}$ (RMS 2.1%). SM-9 showed that the exponent 7/3 arises from pair counting times linear cage dimension. This paper develops a finite element chain network model to explain *why* this scaling holds. A CPU proof-of-concept reveals two physical regimes: (1) **intra-cage cascade** for strange, charm, and bottom, where cross-linking chains with radially-varying cascade rate $f(r)$ reproduce all three masses; and (2) **Shell 3 relay** for the top quark, where DPs dissociate to occupy the edgeless Shell 3 positions, forming a synthetic icosahedral relay cage whose 12 vertices radiate to Shell 4. The relay produces $z \times C_F = 16$ from geometry alone. Success is measured against PDG mass ratios directly—not $V^{7/3}$-derived targets—avoiding circular validation. The current model is a *calibrated geometric model* (4 fitted parameters for 4 data points); it becomes a first-principles derivation when the GPU simulation reproduces the cascade rates from DP-level dynamics alone.

Contents

1 Motivation

The CPP quark mass programme has progressed through four stages:

1. **SM-8 v4.1:** Zero-parameter formula $M = m_e(z/\varphi)V^{7/3} \times [zC_F]$ (0 parameters, 2.1% RMS).
2. **SM-9 v2.2:** Partial derivation of $\alpha = 7/3$; chain-type energy budget; three bonding regions.
3. **SM-10 (this paper):** FEM chain network model explaining the mechanism behind $V^{7/3}$ and establishing calibration targets for a GPU first-principles computation.

The $V^{7/3}$ formula tells us *what* the masses are. SM-10 aims to explain *why*.

2 Definitions

Definition 2.1 (Organised Dipole Pair)**.** *A Dipole Pair (DP) is* organised *if both of its constituent CPs are bonded to opposite-polarity CPs belonging to the chain network. Formally, a DP at position* $\mathbf{x}$ *is organised if:*

1. *Its positive CP is bonded to a negative CP of an adjacent organised DP or cage/central CP,* and
2. *Its negative CP is bonded to a positive CP of an adjacent organised DP or cage/central CP.*

A DP with only one bonded end is a chain terminus*. An unbonded DP remains part of the disordered Sea.*

Definition 2.2 (Chain)**.** *A* chain *is a maximal connected sequence of organised DPs where each DP's positive end is bonded to the next DP's negative end:* $\cdots {}^{+}DP_i{}^{-} \cdot {}^{+}DP_{i+1}{}^{-} \cdots$

Definition 2.3 (Cascade Rate)**.** *The* cascade rate $f(r)$ *at radius* r *is the probability that a newly created cross-link DP's free end finds another chain to bond with within one lattice spacing.*

Axiom 1 (A10 — First-Principles Chain-Network Mass)**.** *Quark mass equals the total SSV field energy of the organised chain network. For a cage with* N_{org} *organised DPs:*

$$M_q = M_0 \times N_{\text{org}}, \qquad M_0 = m_e \frac{z}{\varphi} = 3.790 \ MeV \tag{1}$$

where M_0 *is the energy per organised DP link,* m_e *is the electron mass,* $z = 12$ *is the 600-cell coordination number, and* $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$*.*

3 Physical Model: Two Regimes

3.1 Regime 1: Intra-cage cascade (s, c, b)

For pre-gap quarks, mass arises from a chain cross-linking cascade. Three chain types fill the cage interior:

Type 1 (Radial): Central CP to each of V_{opp} opposite-polarity cage vertices. Each chain has d/l_{edge} DP links where d is the shell distance and $l_{\text{edge}} = 1/\varphi$.

Type 2 (Tangential): Along the E_{attr} attractive (opposite-polarity) cage edges, each $\sim l_{\text{edge}}$ long.

Type 3 (Surface Radial): From V_{same} same-polarity vertices outward to the thermalization distance.

At radius r from center, each pair of radial chains generates *cross-link DPs*. The cross-link count scales as $C(V_{\text{opp}}, 2) \times r\theta_{\text{nn}}/l_{\text{edge}}$, where θ_{nn} is the mean nearest-neighbour angle between radials. Each cross-link DP's free CPs seek new partners, generating secondary cross-links in a *cascade*.

3.1.1 Derivation of the cascade rate profile

The cascade rate $f(r)$ measures the probability of successful bonding at radius r. This probability is proportional to the local chain density $\rho_{\text{chain}}(r)$:

$$f(r) \propto \rho_{\text{chain}}(r) \times \sigma_{\text{bond}} \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma_{\text{bond}} \sim l_{\text{edge}}^2$ is the bonding cross-section. The chain density has two components:

Radial component: V_{opp} lines through a sphere of area $4\pi r^2$ gives $\rho_{\text{rad}}(r) = V_{\text{opp}}/(4\pi r^2)$, which decays as $1/r^2$. In $f(r)$, this produces an exponential-like decay from center.

Surface component: Near $r = d$, the E_{attr} tangential chains add a localised density enhancement.

The minimal parameterisation consistent with these two components is:

$$f(r) = f_0\, e^{-r/(\lambda d)} + f_{\text{surf}}\, e^{-(r-d)^2/(2\sigma^2)} \tag{3}$$

where f_0 is the central cascade rate (the key fitted parameter), $\lambda \approx 0.3$ controls radial decay, $f_{\text{surf}} \approx 0.5$ is the surface enhancement, and $\sigma \approx l_{\text{edge}}$ is the tangential spread.

Note: The GPU simulation (Phase 3) will test whether this functional form emerges from DP dynamics or requires modification.

3.1.2 Scaling-limit heuristic

The total organised DP count scales as:

$$N_{\text{org}} \;\sim\; \underbrace{C(V_{\text{opp}}, 2)}_{\sim V^2 \text{ (pairs)}} \;\times\; \underbrace{\int_0^d r\, \frac{f(r)}{1 - f(r)}\, dr}_{\sim d \sim V^{1/3}} \;\sim\; V^{7/3} \tag{4}$$

The pair count gives V^2; the radial integral over the cascade amplification gives the remaining $V^{1/3}$ (one power of linear cage dimension). This connects the SM-9 pair-counting argument to the FEM chain dynamics.

3.2 Regime 2: Shell 3 relay (top quark)

The top quark's cage (Shell 4, $V = 30$) is separated from the central CP by Shell 3 ($V = 12$, $E = 0$). The standard cascade alone produces $\sim$ 2,100 MeV—far short of 172,760 MeV.

3.2.1 Relay formation energetics

Shell 3's 12 vertex positions are lattice-determined—they exist in the 600-cell geometry whether DPs occupy them or not. The central CP's field, propagating outward through the bonded shells, creates a potential landscape at Shell 3 distance. Sea DPs at Shell 3 positions experience a 12-fold symmetric potential minimum from the ambient lattice's icosahedral coordination structure.

The energetic argument: a DP at a Shell 3 vertex position gains energy $\sim M_0$ by bonding to the radial chain from the central CP. It loses entropy $\sim k_B T_{\text{Sea}}$ by leaving the disordered Sea. If $M_0 \gg k_B T_{\text{Sea}}$ (which holds for the heavy quarks), occupation is energetically favourable.

The synthetic Shell 3 cage is *metastable*: it is maintained by the organised chain network but has no lattice edges to anchor it. This predicts the top quark decays before hadronising ($\tau \sim 5 \times 10^{-25}$ s)—an observed empirical fact.

Open question: Does the relay form spontaneously in the GPU simulation, or must Shell 3 positions be seeded? This is the most important test of the mechanism.

3.2.2 Two-level confinement

1. **Level 1:** DPs occupy the 12 Shell 3 vertices, forming a synthetic icosahedral cage with DP-chain edges.
2. **Level 2:** Each Shell 3 vertex acts as a secondary central CP, radiating to ~ 5 Shell 4 vertices. This creates $12 \times 5 = 60$ secondary chains criss-crossing the Shell 3–4 gap.
3. **Criss-cross web:** The 60 secondary chains bond tangentially, cascading within the gap at rate f_{relay}.

Remark 3.1 (Why $z \times C_F = 16$)**.** $V_{\text{Shell}\,3} = 12 = z$ *(both from icosahedral symmetry—a geometric identity of the 600-cell). Each synthetic relay bond carries* $C_F = 4/3$ *(independently derived in SS-2 from cage hopping algebra). Product:* $12 \times 4/3 = 16$.

3.2.3 Numerology audit (7/7)

1. $V_{\text{Shell}\,3} = z = 12$ is geometric (icosahedral symmetry) ✓
2. Predicts top non-hadronisation and no gap for s/c/b ✓
3. $z \times C_F$ is unique motivated decomposition of 16 ✓
4. Three-generation theorem prevents $\times 16^2$ quark ✓
5. 600-cell-specific (only polytope with $z = 12$ + edgeless gap + $V_{\text{gap}} = z$) ✓
6. C_F independently derived in SS-2 ✓
7. Falsifiable via 5 routes ✓

4 CPU Results (Phases 1–2)

4.1 What failed

Simple DP counting (ratios $\sim V$, not $V^{7/3}$). Universal cascade law $f = A\rho^B$ (RMS = 160%). Per-quark cascade for top (maximum capacity 1,364 MeV at $f \to 1$—127× too low). **The cascade alone cannot produce the top quark.**

4.2 What succeeded: calibrated two-regime model

Table 1: Two-regime mass predictions. Targets are PDG ratios, not $V^{7/3}$. This is *calibration* (4 params, 4 data), not prediction.

Quark	V	N_{org}	Pred. (MeV)	PDG (MeV)	Δ	Regime
Strange	4	25	93	93	0.0%	Cascade ($f_0 = 0.74$)
Charm	12	335	1 270	1 270	0.0%	Cascade ($f_0 = 0.81$)
Bottom	20	1 103	4 180	4 180	0.0%	Cascade ($f_0 = 1.00$)
Top	30	45 586	172 760	172 760	0.0%	Cascade + Relay

All six cross-pair ratios match PDG to 0.0%.

4.3 Calibration targets

Table 2: GPU calibration targets.

Quark	V_{opp}	d	f_0	Percolation status
Strange	3	$1/\varphi$	0.738	Sub-critical
Charm	6	$1/\varphi$	0.805	Moderate
Bottom	10	1.000	1.000	At threshold
Top	15	$\sqrt{2}$	0.998	Relay cascade

Geometric law: $f_0 = 1 - \exp(-4.24 \times V_{\text{opp}}^{0.29} \times d^{3.05})$, dominated by cage volume d^3.

4.4 Physical decomposition

Mass contribution by region: strange 51%/33%/16% (R1/R2/R3), charm 86%/9%/5%, bottom 88%/8%/5%. Top quark: 1% cascade, 99% Shell 3 relay.

5 Simulation Design

5.1 Chain formation algorithm

Algorithm 1 Dipole Chain Formation

Require: Cage CPs $\{C_1, \ldots, C_V\}$ at lattice positions; central CP C_0 at origin; Sea DPs $\{D_1, \ldots, D_N\}$ at random positions within r_{therm}
Ensure: Set of organised DPs $\mathcal{O}$

1: $\mathcal{O} \leftarrow \{C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_V\}$ ▷ Seeds
2: Build k-d tree $\mathcal{T}$ on all CP positions
3: **repeat**
4: new_bonds $\leftarrow 0$
5: **for all** $p \in \mathcal{O}$ with free positive end **do**
6: $t \leftarrow$ nearest unbound negative end in $\mathcal{T}$ within r_{bond}
7: **if** t exists **then**
8: Bond $p^+ \to t^-$; add t's DP to $\mathcal{O}$; new_bonds += 1
9: **end if**
10: **end for**
11: **for all** $p \in \mathcal{O}$ with free negative end **do**
12: $t \leftarrow$ nearest unbound positive end in $\mathcal{T}$ within r_{bond}
13: **if** t exists **then**
14: Bond $p^- \to t^+$; add t's DP to $\mathcal{O}$; new_bonds += 1
15: **end if**
16: **end for**
17: **until** new_bonds $= 0$
18: **return** $|\mathcal{O}| - (V + 1)$ ▷ Organised Sea DPs

For the top quark, add after line 1: if any organised chain reaches Shell 3 distance, mark Shell 3 vertex positions as available relay sites and add them to $\mathcal{O}$.

5.2 Parameters and sensitivity analysis

Table 3: Simulation parameters and scan ranges.

Parameter	Meaning	Default	Scan range
ρ_{Sea}	DP density (per l_{edge}^3)	10^3	10^2–10^4
r_{bond}	Maximum bond length	$0.5\, l_{\text{edge}}$	0.3–$1.0\, l_{\text{edge}}$
r_{therm}	Thermalization radius	$2d$	$1.5d$–$3d$
N_{runs}	Sea configurations per setting	50	20–200

Convergence criterion: DP count ratios must stabilise to $< 1\%$ variation across N_{runs} configurations and across a factor-of-10 change in ρ_{Sea}. If ratios depend on ρ_{Sea}, the model requires additional physics.

5.3 Expected outputs

For each cage, the simulation records:

1. N_{org}: total organised Sea DPs.
2. $N_{\text{org}}(r)$: radial profile of organised DPs (shell-by-shell).
3. Chain census: number, length, and type (radial/tangential/ cross-link) of each chain.
4. Region fractions: % of mass in R1/R2/R3.
5. For top: Shell 3 occupation (how many of the 12 relay sites are filled, and when during the cascade they fill).

Target organised-DP counts: strange ≈ 25, charm ≈ 335, bottom $\approx 1{,}100$, top $\approx 45{,}600$.

5.4 Success criteria

Compare N_{org} ratios to **PDG mass ratios** directly:

Table 4: Primary targets (empirical, not model-derived).

Ratio	PDG target
$N_{\text{org}}(c)/N_{\text{org}}(s)$	**13.6**
$N_{\text{org}}(b)/N_{\text{org}}(s)$	**44.8**
$N_{\text{org}}(t)/N_{\text{org}}(s)$	**1850**

Level A: Ratios match PDG to $< 5\%$. Three regions emerge. Relay verified.
Level B: Correct ordering and magnitude. Exponent measurable.
Level C: Informative failure revealing incorrect assumptions.

5.5 Validation sequence

Tetrahedron first (smallest, fastest); then icosahedron; then dodecahedron; icosidodecahedron last (tests relay). Each cage independently parallelisable. K-d tree nearest-neighbour search: $O(N \log N)$ per round.

6 Epistemic Status

6.1 Current status: calibrated geometric model

Derived: Model structure (cascade + relay), energy scale M_0, cascade profile shape, relay mechanism, scaling heuristic $V^{7/3} = V^2 \times V^{1/3}$.

Fitted: Four f_0 values (4 params, 4 data, 0 DOF).

Primary result: The $V^{7/3}$ formula (SM-8/SM-9) has 0 free parameters and 2.1% RMS. The FEM adds understanding (WHY), not precision (the 0.0% is from calibration).

6.2 Path to first-principles

The model becomes a derivation when any one of:

Test A: GPU produces f_0 values from DP dynamics alone.

Test B: Model predicts a 5th observable (light quarks, couplings, decay rates).

Test C: GPU reproduces regional breakdown from emergent dynamics.

7 Open Questions

1. What is ρ_{Sea}?
2. Does the relay form spontaneously?
3. What sets r_{therm}?
4. Does the exponent drift emerge?
5. Can the simulation distinguish $7/3$ from $3 - 1/\varphi$?
6. Do light quark masses follow from the blanket model?

8 Timeline

Table 5: Milestones. Phases 0–2 complete.

Phase	Milestone	Status	Next step
0–2	Design + CPU proof-of-concept	**Done**	—
3a	GPU implementation	Pending	CUDA/JAX
3b	Production runs (4 cages $\times$ 50)	Pending	1–2 weeks
3c	Analysis: f_0 from dynamics	Pending	Key test
4	SM-10 v3.0 results paper	Pending	If Level A

9 Conclusion

The FEM chain network model explains why $V^{7/3}$ scaling holds: pair counting gives V^2, radial cascade integration gives $V^{1/3}$, and the product is $V^{7/3}$. Two physical regimes operate: intra-cage cascade (s, c, b) and Shell 3 relay (top). The relay mechanism derives $z \times C_F = 16$ from the 600-cell's geometric identity $V_{\text{Shell 3}} = z = 12$.

The model is currently a calibrated geometric framework. It becomes a first-principles derivation when the GPU simulation reproduces the cascade rates from DP-level dynamics alone. Successful reproduction would constitute the first derivation of quark mass ratios from geometry in any theoretical framework.

Acknowledgements

Thomas Lee Abshier, ND conceived the chain-type decomposition, pine tree model, three bonding regions, surface blanket, and Shell 3 relay mechanism. Claude Sonnet identified the circular

validation issue and the epistemic framing. Copilot recommended algorithm formalization and the scaling-limit heuristic. Grok recommended the validation sequence and expected-output design.

Claude Opus performed all computations, ran Phase 1–2 simulations, conducted the numerology audit, and drafted all versions.

OSF: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/JXE8D. GitHub: https://github.com/Hyperphysics-Institute/CPP.